

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. 2:25-cv-03192-CAS-AJR

Date: April 14, 2025
Page 1 of 2

Title: Warren Fred Nelson v. Santa Monica Police Department, et al.

DOCKET ENTRY: **ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE WHY THIS ACTION SHOULD
NOT BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO PROVIDE A
MAILING ADDRESS**

PRESENT:

HONORABLE A. JOEL RICHLIN, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Claudia Garcia-Marquez
Deputy Clerk

None
Court Reporter/Recorder

None
Tape No.

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR
PLAINTIFF:

None Present

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR
DEFENDANTS:

None Present

PROCEEDINGS: (IN CHAMBERS)

On April 8, 2024, *pro se* plaintiff Warren Fred Nelson (“Plaintiff”), filed a civil rights complaint (the “Complaint”) against the Santa Monica Police Department and variety of other defendants. (Dkt. 1.) Plaintiff did not include a mailing address on the Complaint or any of his other filings. Plaintiff is advised that the Central District’s Local Rule 11-3.8 requires the first page of all documents filed with the Court to contain the address “of the attorney or a party appearing *pro se* presenting the document.” C.D. Cal. Loc. R. 11-3.8. Local Rule 41-6 also requires a party proceeding *pro se* to keep the Court and all other parties informed of the party’s current address:

A party proceeding *pro se* must keep the Court and all other parties informed of the party’s current address as well as any telephone number and email address. If a Court order or other mail served on a *pro se* plaintiff at his address of record is returned by

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. 2:25-cv-03192-CAS-AJR

Date: April 14, 2025
Page 2 of 2

Title: Warren Fred Nelson v. Santa Monica Police Department, et al.

the Postal Service as undeliverable and the *pro se* party has not filed a notice of change of address **within 14 days of the service date of the order or other Court document, the Court may dismiss the action with or without prejudice for failure to prosecute.**

C.D. Cal. L.R. 41-6 (emphasis added).

The Court must have an address of record for all parties for service and receipt of filings. Plaintiff is therefore **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE** by April 28, 2025 why the Court should not recommend that this action be dismissed either with or without prejudice pursuant to Local Rule 41-6 due to Plaintiff's failure to provide a mailing address. Plaintiff may satisfy this Order by filing a response with a current mailing address. **Plaintiff is warned that the failure to timely file a response to this Order will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed either with or without prejudice for failure to prosecute and obey court orders pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).** The Clerk of Court is directed to provide a courtesy copy of this Order to Plaintiff at the email address he listed on his Complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED.